# Tolerability of four-drug antiretroviral combination therapy in primary HIV-1 infection

JE Burns (D,<sup>1</sup> W Stöhr,<sup>2</sup> S Kinloch-De Loes,<sup>3,4</sup> J Fox,<sup>5,6</sup> A Clarke,<sup>7,8,9</sup> M Nelson,<sup>10</sup> J Thornhill,<sup>11,12</sup> A Babiker,<sup>2</sup> J Frater,<sup>13,14</sup> SL Pett<sup>1,2</sup> and S Fidler<sup>11,12</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Clinical Research in Infection and Sexual Health, Institute for Global Health, University College London, London, UK, <sup>2</sup>Medical Research Council Clinical Trials Unit, Institute of Clinical Trials and Methodology, University College London, London, UK, <sup>3</sup>Department of Infection and Immunity, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK, <sup>4</sup>Institute of Immunity & Transplantation, University College London, London, UK, <sup>5</sup>Department of Genitourinary Medicine and Infectious Diseases, Guys and St, Thomas' NHS Trust, London, UK, <sup>6</sup>Department of Genitourinary Medicine and Infectious Diseases, NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, King's College London, London, UK, <sup>7</sup>Elton John Centre, Brighton, UK, <sup>8</sup>Department of HIV and Sexual Health, Sussex University Hospital, Brighton, UK, <sup>9</sup>Brighton and Sussex Medical School, University of Sussex, Brighton, UK, <sup>10</sup>Department of HIV Medicine, Chelsea and Westminster Hospital, Imperial College London, London, UK, <sup>11</sup>Department of Infectious Disease, Imperial College London, London, UK, <sup>12</sup>NIHR Imperial Biomedical Research Centre, London, UK, <sup>13</sup>Nuffield Department of Medicine, Oxford University, Oxford, UK and <sup>14</sup>Nuffield Department of Medicine, Oxford NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Oxford, UK

### Objectives

Rapid initiation of antiretroviral therapy (ART) is important for individuals with high baseline viral loads, such as in primary HIV-1 infection (PHI). Four-drug regimens are sometimes considered; however, data are lacking on tolerability. We aimed to evaluate the tolerability of four-drug regimens used in the Research in Viral Eradication of HIV-1 Reservoirs (RIVER) study.

### Methods

At enrolment, ART-naïve adult participants or those newly commenced on ART were initiated or intensified to four-drug regimens within 4 weeks of PHI. Rapid start was defined as pre-confirmation or  $\leq$  7 days of confirmed diagnosis. Primary and secondary outcomes were patient-reported adherence measured by 7-day recall and regimen switches between enrolment and randomization, respectively.

# Results

Overall, 54 men were included: 72.2% were of white ethnicity, with a median age of 32 years old, 42.6% had a viral load of  $\geq$  100 000 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL, and in 92.6% sex with men was the mode of acquisition of HIV-1. Twenty (37%) started a four-drug regimen and 34 (63%) were intensified. Rapid ART initiation occurred in 28%, 100% started in  $\leq$  4 weeks. By weeks 4, 12, and 24, 37.0%, 69.0%, and 94.0% were undetectable (viral load < 50 copies/mL), respectively. Adherence rates of 100% at weeks 4, 12, 22 and 24 were reported in 88.9%, 87.0%, 82.4% and 94.1% of participants, respectively. Five individuals switched to three drugs, four changed their regimen constituents, and two switched post-randomization.

### Conclusions

Overall, four-drug regimens were well tolerated and had high levels of adherence. Whilst their benefit over three-drug regimens is lacking, our findings should provide reassurance if a temporarily intensified regimen is clinically indicated to help facilitate treatment.

Keywords: adherence, antiretroviral therapy, primary HIV-1 infection, tolerability

### Accepted 6 April 2021

Correspondence: James E Burns, Centre for Clinical Research in Infection and Sexual Health, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Mortimer Market Centre, Capper St, London WC1E 6JB, UK. Tel.: +44 (0)203 108 2087; fax: +44 (0)203 108 2053; e-mail: james.burns@ucl.ac.uk

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## Introduction

Following the findings of the START and TEMPRANO trials in 2015 [1,2], HIV-1 treatment guidelines are unified in their recommendation to initiate antiretroviral therapy (ART) irrespective of CD4 count [3,4]. There is also a consensus that the rapid initiation of ART (ideally  $\leq$  7days after confirmed HIV-1 diagnosis) is feasible [5], can achieve faster virological suppression [6], minimizes the HIV-1 reservoir [7] and subsequent immune recovery [1], and improves uptake of ART and retention of care [8]. Rapid ART initiation is particularly important for individuals with primary HIV-1 infection (PHI) to mitigate the elevated risk of onward transmission due to very high HIV-1 viral loads [9,10].

Current guidelines for rapidly starting ART in PHI recommend triple ART regimens comprising a tenofovirbased, dual nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) backbone combined with integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs), or a boosted protease inhibitor (PI) such as darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r) [4]. Some physicians elect to start all four components, particularly with high viral loads in PHI. The rationale for four drugs is to access the benefits of faster viral suppression seen with INSTIS [11,12] combined with the higher genetic barrier to resistance associated with PIs [13]. This also safely negates the need to await genotype resistance and HLA-B\*5701 results.

Despite the recommendations for rapid start of ART in PHI, there is a paucity of data on the tolerability and adherence in this setting, a time when patients are dealing with the burden of a new diagnosis of HIV-1, potentially compounded by symptoms of seroconversion. As such, our aim was to review the tolerability of four-drug regimens in the Research in Viral Eradication of HIV-1 Reservoirs (RIVER) trial (NCT02336074) [14].

## Methods

The RIVER trial methodology is described in the primary manuscript [14]. RIVER was conducted in the UK, during 2016–2018. At enrolment, ART-naïve adult participants or those newly commenced on ART were initiated or intensified to four-drug regimens within 4 weeks of PHI. They were randomized 6 months later to adjuvant ChAdV63.HIVconsv-prime and vorinostat or to continue ART alone. The post-randomization intervention period lasted 18 weeks. This analysis only includes participants who received a four-drug ART regimen.

Participants were recommended a four-drug ART regimen as per the RIVER protocol. This included daily DRV/ r 800/100 mg, as per the guidance for ART initiation prior to genotype availability at the time of recruitment [3], raltegravir 400 mg twice a day to facilitate rapid viral load suppression, and a dual, tenofovir-based NRTI backbone. For those on a three-drug combination pre-enrolment, intensification was proposed with raltegravir if on a PI-based regimen or a boosted PI if on a raltegravirbased regimen.

Our primary outcome was patient-reported adherence measured by 7-day recall at weeks 0, 4, 12, 22 and 24 (randomization). The 7-day recall tool is widely used in trials conducted by the AIDS Clinical Trials Group and the International Network for Strategic Initiatives in Global HIV Trials [15]. Our secondary outcome was the number of regimen switches between enrolment and randomization.

## Results

This male cohort (n = 54) had a median age of 32 years [interquartile range (IQR): 29–40], 72.2% were of white ethnicity (n = 39), and in 92.6% (n = 50) sex with men was the likely mode of HIV-1 acquisition. Median (IQR) HIV-1 viral load was 48 295 (19 408–1 073 031) copies/ mL; 42.6% (n = 23) had a viral load  $\geq$  100 000 copies/ mL. The majority (n = 34, 63.0%) were enrolled in the study based on a recent infection test algorithm [16]; 27.7% (n = 15/54) started ART  $\leq$  7 days after a confirmed diagnosis (rapid start).

Of the 34 (63.0%) on ART pre-enrolment, 21 received a PI-based three-drug regimen, three received a raltegravirbased three-drug regimen, and nine received a four-drug regimen with raltegravir, boosted darunavir, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) and emtricitabine (FTC). One participant received TDF/FTC pre-exposure prophylaxis. The 20 (37.0%) ART-naïve individuals at enrolment all started a four-drug regimen (Table 1). The times from confirmed PHI diagnosis to ART initiation were  $\leq 1, 1-2,$ 2-3 and 3-4 weeks in 14.8% (n = 8), 22.2% (n = 12), 16.7% (n = 9) and 33.3% (n = 18), respectively. Seven (13.0%) started ART before HIV-1 diagnosis confirmation; 85.2% (n = 46) remained on the same four-drug regimen from enrolment to randomization which occurred at week 24 (range 22-54). By weeks 4, 12, and 24 post-enrolment, 37.0%, 69.0%, and 94.0% had a viral load < 50 copies/ mL respectively.

Adherence at weeks 4, 12, 22, and 24 (randomization) indicated 100% adherence to ART in 88.9% (n = 48/54), 87.0% (n = 47/54), 82.4% (n = 42/51) and 94.1% (n = 48/51) of participants, respectively. Three individuals withdrew or were lost to follow-up before randomization.

	Men
Sample	54 (100.0)
HIV-1 viral load at enrolment (copies/mL)	
Overall average [median (IQR)]	48 295 (19 408–1 073 031)
< 200	2 (3.7)
1000 to < 10 000	7 (13.0)
10 000 to < 100 000	22 (40.7)
100 000 to < 1 000 000	9 (16.7)
$\geq$ 1 000 000	14 (25.9)
Confirmed PHI diagnosis to start of ART (weeks)	
Before confirmed diagnosis	7 (13)
≤1	8 (15)
1–2	12 (22)
2–3	9 (17)
3–4	18 (33)
Confirmed PHI diagnosis to four-drug ART start	(weeks)
Before confirmed diagnosis	1 (1.8)
≤1	4 (7.4)
1–2	7 (13.0)
2–3	11 (20.4)
3–4	31 (57.4)
First ART regimen pre-enrolment ( $n = 34$ )	
TDF/FTC*	1 (3)
TDF/FTC + DRV/r	16 (47)
TDF/FTC + DRV/c	5 (15)
TDF/FTC + RAL	3 (9)
TDF/FTC + DRV/r + RAL	5 (15)
TDF/FTC + DRV/c + RAL	4 (12)
First ART regimen post-enrolment ( $n = 20$ )	
TDF/FTC + DRV/r + RAL	13 (65)
TDF/FTC + DRV/c + RAL	6 (30)
TDF/FTC + EFZ + RAL	1 (5)

Table 1	Summary	of b	aseline	status	and	antiretroviral	therapy
(ART) re	gimen com	binati	ons. Dat	a are n	(%) u	inless noted of	cherwise

ABC, abacavir; ART, antiretroviral therapy; DRV, darunavir; DTG, dolutegravir; EFZ, efavirenz; FTC, emtricitabine; IOR, interquartile range; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; PHI, primary HIV-1 infection; RAL, raltegravir; 3TC, lamivudine; /c, cobicistat-boosted; /r, ritonavir-boosted. \*Individual was taking pre-exposure prophylaxis.

Table 2 Antiretroviral therapy (ART) regimen changes

ART change	Initial regimen				Switched regimen				Switch reason	Week post-enrolment
1	TDF	FTC	DRV/c	RAL	TDF	FTC	DRV/r	RAL	Diarrhoea	4
2	TDF	FTC	DRV/r	RAL	TDF	FTC	RAL	-	Insomnia	7
3	TDF	FTC	DRV/r	RAL	TDF	FTC	DRV/c	-	Scalp Alopecia	7
4*	TDF	FTC	DRV/r	RAL	ABC	3TC	DRV/r	RAL	Decreased renal function	10
5*	ABC	3TC	DRV/r	RAL	TDF	FTC	DRV/r	RAL	Vivid dreams	14
6	TDF	FTC	DRV/r	RAL	TDF	FTC	DRV/c	DTG	Patient choice	24
7	TDF	FTC	DRV/r	RAL	TDF	FTC	RAL	-	Pill burden	24
8	TDF	FTC	DRV/r	RAL	TDF	FTC	RAL	-	Decreased renal function	26
9	TDF	FTC	DRV/r	RAL	FTC	DRV/r	RAL	-	Concerns about recreational drug interactions	40
Post-randomiz	ation									
1 <sup>†</sup>	TDF	FTC	EFV	RAL	TDF	FTC	DRV/r	RAL	Nausea and vomiting	37
2	TDF	FTC	DRV/c	RAL	TAF	FTC	DRV/c	-	Decreased renal function	38

ABC, abacavir; ART, antiretroviral therapy; DRV, darunavir; DTG, dolutegravir; EFZ, efavirenz; FTC, emtricitabine; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide fumarate; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; RAL, raltegravir; 3TC, lamivudine; /c, cobicistat-boosted; /r, ritonavir-boosted.

\*Same participant switches back to original regimen.

\*Switched back to initial regimen as the same adverse EVENT persisted.

Five changed to a three-drug regimen [at weeks 7 (n = 2), 24, 26 and 40) and three changed components of, but remained on, a four-drug regimen (Table 2). The reasons for ART changes included adverse events (n = 5; Table 2), pill burden (n = 1), recreational drug interaction (n = 1) and patient choice (n = 1). Only two participants changed their four-drug regimen post-randomization – one for declining renal function and one due to nausea and vomiting.

# Discussion

In the RIVER trial, four-drug ART regimens were well tolerated in this group of patients with PHI, reflected by the consistently high adherence levels and low occurrence of regimen switches. This was at a similar level to other three-drug regimen cohorts [17] and despite a pill burden of four to six pills/day.

While only 15 participants (28%) had a truly 'rapid' ART start, everyone had commenced ART within four weeks of confirmed PHI diagnosis. Importantly, the design of the trial allowed the inclusion of those who had already started ART, and thus any delays should not have been attributed to screening/enrolment procedures for the trial. The RIVER trial patient population was small, male and highly motivated, limiting the generalizability to other cohorts, although despite this, our data demonstrate that four-drug regimens are feasible in the PHI setting, including for rapid ART initiation.

While three-drug regimens using a dolutegravir, bictegravir or darunavir/r third agent are recommended in PHI, barriers to four-drug regimens (e.g. pill burden) are diminished with modern fixed-dose combinations. Similarly, while the prevalence of transmitted INSTI resistance in the UK is currently low, this may rise with greater use [18]. However, it is acknowledged that the use of fourdrug combinations has become less common and is partially driven by physician choice dependent on the clinical circumstance (e.g. concerns about drug-resistant HIV acquisition). It is also noted that studies comparing standard three-drug regimens with five-drug combinations that included raltegravir and maraviroc found no difference in viral suppression or HIV reservoir size, although sample sizes were small [19,20].

Viable four-drug regimens offer flexibility by expanding treatment options for people newly diagnosed with HIV, particularly in PHI, wishing to start treatment promptly. In these scenarios, clinical teams may also be reassured that a robust regimen is being utilized pending initial investigations; the regimen can then easily be rationalized when results are available or when viral suppression has been achieved.

## Acknowledgements

The authors submit this work on behalf of the RIVER Trial Study Group and would like to acknowledge their contributions to the parent study. This work was supported in part by UK National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) infrastructure through the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centres based at the University of Oxford, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust and Imperial College London, University of Cambridge and King's College London. GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals SA was provided the opportunity to review a preliminary version of the manuscript for factual accuracy, but the authors are solely responsible for final content and interpretation.

RIVER Trial Study Group author contributors: Eric Sandström, Janet Darbyshire, Frank Post, Christopher Conlon, Jane Anderson, Mala Maini, Timothy Peto, Peter Sasieni, Veronica Miller, Ian Weller, Sarah Fidler, John Frater, Abdel Babiker, Wolfgang Stöhr, Sarah Pett, Lucy Dorrell, Matthew Pace, Natalia Olejiniczak, Helen Brown Nicola Robinson, Jakub Kopycinski, Hongbing Yang, Tomáš Hanke, Alison Crook, Steven Kaye, Myra M<sup>c</sup>clure, Otto Erlwein, Andrew Lovell, Maryam Khan, Michelle Gabrielle, Rachel Bennett, Aminata Sy, Adam Gregory, Fleur Hudson, Charlotte Russell, Gemma Wood, Hanna Box, Cherry Kingsley, Katie Topping, Andrew Lever, Mark Wills, Axel Fun, Mikaila Bandara, Damian Kelly, Simon Collins, Alex Markham, Mary Rauchenberger, Yinka Sowunmi, Shaadi Shidfar, Dominic Hague, Mark Nelson, Maddalena Cerrone, Nadia Castrillo Martinez, Tristan Barber, Alexandra Schoolmeesters, Christine Weaver, Orla Thunder, Jane Rowlands, Christopher Higgs, Serge Fedele, Margherita Bracchi, Lervina Thomas, Peter Bourke, Nneka Nwokolo, Gaynor Lawrenson, Marzia Fiorino, Hinal Lukha, Sabine Kinloch-De-Loes, Margaret Johnson, Alice Nightingale, Nnenna Ngwu, Patrick Bryne, Zoe Cuthbertson, Martin Jones, Tina Fernandez, Amanda Clarke, Martin Fisher, Rebecca Gleig, Vittorio Trevitt, Colin Fitzpatrick, Tanya Adams, Fiounnuala Finnerty, John Thornhill, Heather Lewis, Kristin Kuldanek, Julie Fox, Julianne Lwanga, Hiromi Uzu, Ming Lee, Simon Merle, Patrick O'rourke, Isabel Jendrulek, Taras Zarkoflynn, Mark Taylor, Juan Manuel Tiraboschi and Tammy Murray.

*Conflict of interest*: We declare there are no competing interests.

*Financial disclosure:* The parent study was funded by the Medical Research Council Developmental Pathway Funding Scheme (MR/L00528X/1). GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals SA part-owned the vaccine from the parent study.

# Author contributions

JEB and SLP drafted the initial manuscript. SLP developed the concept. WS performed the statistical analysis. All other authors contributed to the review and finalisation of the manuscript

# References

- 1 INSIGHT START Study Group. Initiation of antiretroviral therapy in early asymptomatic HIV infection. *N Engl J Med* 2015; **373**: 795–807.
- 2 The TEMPRANO ANRS 12136 Study Group. A trial of early antiretrovirals and isoniazid preventive therapy in Africa. *N Eng J Med* 2015; **37**3: 808–822.
- 3 British HIV Association. British HIV Association. BHIVA Guidelines for the treatment of HIV-1-positive adults with antiretroviral therapy 2016 interim update. 2016.
- 4 European AIDS Clinical Society. European AIDS clinical society guidelines. European AIDS Clinical Society. 2019;10.0.
- 5 Koenig SP, Dorvil N, Dévieux JG *et al.* Same-day HIV testing with initiation of antiretroviral therapy versus standard care for persons living with HIV: a randomized unblinded trial. *PLoS Medicine* 2017; 14: e1002357.
- 6 Pilcher CD, Ospina-Norvell C, Dasgupta A *et al.* The effect of same-day observed initiation of antiretroviral therapy on HIV viral load and treatment outcomes in a US public health setting. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2017; **74**: 44–51.

- 7 Buzon MJ, Martin-Gayo E, Pereyra F *et al.* Long-term antiretroviral treatment initiated at primary HIV-1 infection affects the size, composition, and decay kinetics of the reservoir of HIV-1-infected CD4 T cells. *J Virol* 2014; **88**: 10056–10065.
- 8 Mateo-Urdiales A, Johnson S, Smith R, Nachega JB, Eshun-Wilson I. Rapid initiation of antiretroviral therapy for people living with HIV. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2019; 6. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012962.pub2
- 9 Brenner BG, Roger M, Routy JP *et al.* High rates of forward transmission events after acute/early HIV-1 infection. *J Infect Dis* 2007; **195**: 951–959.
- 10 Chibo D, Kaye M, Birch C. HIV transmissions during seroconversion contribute significantly to new infections in men who have sex with men in Australia. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 2012; 28: 460–464.
- 11 Hoenigl M, Chaillon A, Moore DJ *et al.* Rapid HIV viral load suppression in those initiating antiretroviral therapy at first visit after HIV diagnosis. *Sci Rep* 2016; 6: 32947.
- Sterrantino G, Zaccarelli M, Prati F, Boschi A, Sighinolfi L, Borghi V. Four-drugs regimen containing raltegravir is highly effective in HIV patients starting therapy with >500,000 copies/mL viral load. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2014; 17(4 Suppl 3): 19774.
- 13 Spertilli Raffaelli C, Rossetti B, Paglicci L *et al*. Impact of transmitted HIV-1 drug resistance on the efficacy of first-line antiretroviral therapy with two nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitors plus an integrase inhibitor or a protease inhibitor. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2018; 73: 2480–2484.

- 14 Fidler S, Stöhr W, Pace M *et al.* Antiretroviral therapy alone versus antiretroviral therapy with a kick and kill approach, on measures of the HIV reservoir in participants with recent HIV infection (the RIVER trial): a phase 2, randomised trial. *Lancet (London, England).* 2020; 395: 888–898.
- 15 O'Connor JL, Gardner EM, Esser S *et al*. A simple self-reported adherence tool as a predictor of viral rebound in people with viral suppression on antiretroviral therapy. *HIV Med* 2016; **17**: 124–132.
- 16 Grebe E, Welte A, Hall J *et al.* Infection staging and incidence surveillance applications of high dynamic range diagnostic immuno-assay platforms. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2017; **76**: 547–555.
- 17 Lewis JM, Smith C, Torkington A *et al*. Real-world persistence with antiretroviral therapy for HIV in the United Kingdom: a multicentre retrospective cohort study. *J Infect* 2017; 74: 401–407.
- 18 Mbisa JL, Ledesma J, Kirwan P *et al.* Surveillance of HIV-1 transmitted integrase strand transfer inhibitor resistance in the UK. J Antimicrob Chemother 2020; 75: 3311–3318.
- 19 Chéret A, Nembot G, Mélard A *et al.* Intensive five-drug antiretroviral therapy regimen versus standard triple-drug therapy during primary HIV-1 infection (OPTIPRIM-ANRS 147): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2015; 15: 387–396.
- 20 Markowitz M, Evering TH, Garmon D *et al.* A randomized open-label study of 3- versus 5-drug combination antiretroviral therapy in newly HIV-1-infected individuals. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2014; **66**: 140–147.